

# LATROBE CITY COUNCIL

## MINUTES FOR THE SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING

#### HELD IN NAMBUR WARIGA MEETING ROOM CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS, MORWELL AT 5:30PM ON 28 JANUARY 2014

#### SM428

#### PRESENT:

Councillors: Cr Sharon Gibson, Mayor West Ward

Cr Dale Harriman, Deputy East Ward

Mayor

Cr Peter Gibbons West Ward
Cr Sandy Kam, Mayor
Cr Graeme Middlemiss Central Ward
Cr Kellie O'Callaghan East Ward
Cr Michael Rossiter East Ward
Cr Christine Sindt Central Ward
Cr Darrell White South Ward

Officers: John Mitchell Acting Chief Executive Officer

David Elder Acting General Manager Community Liveability
Carol Jeffs General Manager Planning and Governance
Chris Wightman Acting Manager Planning and Governance
Allison Jones General Manager Economic Sustainability

Jamey Mullen Acting General Manager Organisational Excellence
Grantley Switzer General Manager Recreation, Culture & Community

Infrastructure

Jodie Pitkin ActingManager Council Operations & Legal Counsel

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	OPENING PRAYER		2
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE TRADITIONAL OWNERS OF THE LANDAPOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE		
3.			
4.	DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST		
5.	NOTICES OF MOTION		
		– NOTICE OF MOTION – LATROBE CITY COUNCIL REMENT DELEGATIONS	4

#### 1. OPENING PRAYER

Our Father in Heaven, hallowed be your Name, your kingdom come, your will be done on earth as in Heaven. Give us today our daily bread. Forgive us our sins as we forgive those who sin against us. Save us from the time of trial and deliver us from evil. For the kingdom, the power, and the glory are yours now and forever.

### 2. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE TRADITIONAL OWNERS OF THE LAND

We respectfully acknowledge that we are meeting here today on the traditional land of the Braiakaulung people of the Gunnai/Kūrnai Clan and pay our respect to their past and present elders

#### 3. APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Cr Sindt - Apology

Cr O'Callaghan – Apology

#### 4. DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST

## **NOTICES OF MOTION**

#### 5. NOTICES OF MOTION

## 5.1 2014/04 - NOTICE OF MOTION - LATROBE CITY COUNCIL PROCUREMENT DELEGATIONS

Cr Sharon Gibson

Attachments
1. Red Tape Commissioner

That Latrobe City Council Procurement Policy 13 POL-06 and delegations be amended as follows:

- Goods and Services [procured of \$150,000 and above and construction works procured of \$200,000 and above must be subject to public tender process
- Chief Executive Officer authorised to approve purchases of goods and services up to \$150,000 and construction works up to \$200,000
- Chief Executive Officer authorised to approve total variations for a contract up to an amount of \$200,000 and that any such variations be reported to Council
- Chief Executive Officer is not to further delegate or subdelegate any approvals or purchases between the values of \$25,000 and \$150,000 for goods and services, \$200,000 for construction.

Moved: Cr Gibson

**Seconded:** Cr Harriman

That the Motion be adopted.

**CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY** 



#### **Red Tape Commissioner**

INFORMA	E CITY COUNC TION MANAGEMEN RECEIVED 2 D DEC 2013	Level 36, 121 Exhibition Streem Melbourne Victoria 3000 Australia GPO Box 4509 Melbourne Victoria 3001 Australia Telephone: (03) 9651 9035 DX210074
R/O:	Doc No:	0.1 0.00 6 1970 7579
Comments/Copie	viding and to purpose a	accounts 7 December 2013

Councillor Sharon Gibson Mayor LaTrobe City Council PO Box 264 MORWELL Vic 3840

#### Dear Councillor

In my role as Red Tape Commissioner I consult with the business community about red tape and submit proposals to the Victorian Government to remove excessive red tape. I am also charged with highlighting excessive red tape that is administered by the Commonwealth and local governments. Excessive red tape stifles investment, innovation and jobs growth.

The civil contracting industry has drawn to my attention a recent policy decision of the LaTrobe City Council that entails excessive red tape.

I refer to your Council's Procurement Policy adopted by Council on 6 November 2013. Clause 4.6.6 of the Procurement Policy states:

"When total variations reach \$15,000, they must be approved by a meeting of council or an appropriately delegated section 86 Committee. Below \$15,000, approval must be given by the Chief Executive Officer."

The policy represents a significant departure from normal practice and introduces a questionable layer of regulation. The accepted practice of most councils is for an officer, such as a project superintendent, to approve minor contract variations. The officer will have a sound knowledge of the project and the capabilities of the contractor. Both will appreciate the importance of avoiding unnecessary delays to the program of work.

The policy adopted by your Council will inevitably cause delays. The preparation of documentation for the consideration of the Council or its committee will be required. Council or the committee will then meet, deliberate and decide upon the proposal. The project officer will then inform the contractor of the outcome.



Small variations to civil works contracts are common. This is especially so when old pavements and systems are involved. Most contracts for road, kerbing, channelling, footpath and drainage maintenance/upgrades cost over \$100,000. The average price of such contracts is between \$350,000 -\$500,000. The policy in casting the approval value at \$15,000 establishes a very low threshold for Council involvement in variations to contracts of this size.

I am advised that a recent \$17,000 variation to a contract at with your Council took over a month to be approved. The cost of the delay to the contractor was about \$50,000. This cost will eventually be met by the Council. In the event that such impacts become a feature of dealing with your Council, the result will be higher prices for your projects compared to other Councils and industry benchmarks. It is possible reputable contractors may choose to withdraw from working for your Council.

It seems there are few winners from this policy. The delays and costs for contractors are increased, Council officers have diminished work responsibilities and the Council and its ratepayers will incur a premium on maintenance/upgrade projects. The only winners would appear to be those who embrace additional regulation of the activities of small and medium sized businesses.

I urge your Council to reconsider the policy position conveyed by Clause 4.6.6 and markedly increase the threshold for Council consideration of contract variations. In doing so I suggest you consult the Civil Contractors Federation who have Australia wide expertise in the efficient management of civil works projects.

Yours sincerely,

oyd



There being no further business the meeting was declared closed at 5:50 PM.
I certify that these minutes have been confirmed.
Mayor:
Date: