

Summary of submissions received to the Review of the MACP and MRPRP,  
February & March 2013

Date	Name	Previous Submitter	Response to questions posed:				Other comments provided:
			Views towards project changed?	How would you like to see the project continue?	View on current approach to secure funding through a staged approach?	Expectations for community participation in project delivery moving forward?	
30-01-13	Mr D. A. (Tony) Paul	Yes	-	-	-	-	Amend MRPRP in relation to: - planned duplication of rail, and subsequent need to move train station; - opportunity to reconfigure land in Lloyd St, between service station and Anzac St; - undertake survey regarding car parking requirements before any transport unrelated uses for Lloyd St land is determined (consider tourist bus needs); - replace Waterloo Rd-Lloyd St level-crossing with overpass at Saviges Rd; - consider previous suggestions to refine Anzac St overpass-roundabout; - need to proactively facilitate urban renewal component of MACP; - expansion of library at current site.
10-02-13	Cheryl Wragg, Moe and District Residents Association Inc.	Yes	-	-	-	-	Copy of MADRA's presentation to the c62 Planning Panel, 9 June 2009. Requested Council's reconsideration of content in review process.
11-02-13	Mr D. A. (Tony) Paul	Yes	-	-	-	-	- Consider earlier correspondence as submission to review; - Opposed to relocation of library/service centre; - Support development of 'transport hub' at rail precinct; - Concerns regarding failure to implement major components of MACP.
11-02-13	Cheryl Wragg, Moe and District Residents Association Inc.	Yes	-	-	-	-	Copy of MADRA's survey of Moe residents, 2009. Requested Council's reconsideration of content in review process.
12-02-13	Ken Whittaker	Yes	View unchanged: - opposed to relocation of library; - single level public facility; - increase car parking on south side of rail; - bus and taxi services on south side of rail.	-	-	-	-
12-02-13	Maree Hall	Yes	Continue to support this project.	Continue as per concept design in June 2011.	Happy with Council's staged approach to secure funding.	Project has been saturated with community participation. Time to deliver project.	-
12-02-13	Chris Thornton, Insulmet Pty Ltd	Yes	Continue to support this project.	Would like to see project continue.	Would like LCC to secure funding required to progress project.	-	Requested Council to note the petition with 6,700 supporting project, and public attendance of approx 1,500 at rally to support project.
14-02-13	Jon Hall	Yes	Views have changed, now fully support project.	Continue as per concept design in June 2011.	Progress funding applications following review, regardless of format.	-	-
14-02-13	Pam Varekamp / Thornton	Yes	Continue to support this project.	Continue as per concept design in June 2011.	Progress funding opportunities with state and federal governments.	No further consultation is justified, expect project to be delivered.	-
18-02-13	P. G. Aboltins, A.P.G. & Associates Consulting Services	Yes	Concept Design in June 2011 address several concerns, however some concerns remain.	Preferred amendments to design: - exclusion of 'future' rail overpass; - inclusion of 'station forecourt' in Lloyd St; - inclusion of Lloyd St retail (should be retained as car parking); - removal of car parking in Moore St & George St; - new car parking in George St does not adequately replace those removed; - inclusion of playground and BBQ area.	Critical of LCC approach to secure funding to date.	Critical of LCC's previous and current approach to community participation.	-
18-02-13	Graham Scott	Yes	Views have changed, in support	Continue to progress in staged	Support staged funding model.	Community to be informed of	- Highlight the need for urgency.

			of project. Do not support process to pull apart agreed concept.	approach, ensuring usable elements at conclusion of each stage.		progress. Ability for community to celebrate completion of individual elements.	
20-02-13	Brian Auger, Moe and District Residents Association	Yes	Views remain unchanged in principle, project to progress on basis of transport infrastructure requirements. Current concerns: - Inadequate railway car parking; - tourist bus facilities not separated from VLine buses; - George St/Moore St bottleneck – traffic congestion; - George St bus route; George St & Moore St car parking; - Allocation of land for additional overpass; - Skatepark; and - Moe Library / service centre.	Needs based approach to prioritise components, referenced to available funding.	Rethink project to a needs based methodology to identify different funding opportunities.	Critical of LCC's previous approach to community participation.	-
22-02-13	Don Coupe	Yes	Have provided an amended submission.	Project to progress with some changes: - relocate service centre, and refurbish library in current location; - community hub building should include tourist information/attractions; - relocate skate park to desired location of community, include ten pin bowling / arts and crafts; - provide ample car parking, including caravan parking.	Agreed with staged funding approach.	-	Noted 6,000 people signed petition, however as many as 9,000 refrained from signing.
22-02-13	Alix Williams	Yes	Views unchanged, support the underlying principles and aspects of the project.	Do not understand changes between December 2009 and June 2011, disappointed with lack of discussion regarding final design.	Staged project implementation seems logical. Appreciate consideration of funding opportunities, as past of review process.	-	Supportive of review process.
22-02-13	John Mutsaers	Yes	Continue to support this project.	Continue as per concept design in June 2011.	Support funding sought as required for the whole project to be completed within a reasonable timeframe.	Moe community will participate in positive manner to support project to go ahead.	- Support immediate resumption of works, as planned; - Importance of this project to end uncertainty and anxiety and to provide Moe community a sense of hope;
25-02-13	Jeff Hitchins, Moe Traders Association	Yes	Views have changed, did not fully support in 2007 but MTA now fully support this project.	Continue as per current final design.	Would like LCC to pursue funding opportunities to progress project.	Suggests LCC join with Moe business sector and community leaders to actively contact politicians to pursue funding.	- Previously had minor issues in regards to the car parking, but now give full support to going ahead as is. - Project about revitalization of CBD and could attract corporate businesses. - Anticipate more land release - Represents future job security - Noted the petition signed by over 6,500 signatures in favour of project and the public rally of approx 1500 to support project. - Noted over 90% of the community support the project.
25-02-13	Joe Diamante	Yes	Views have not changed: Had concerns about car parking and location of skate park but encouraged project to proceed.	Would still be happy to see changes to car parking and skate park location but NOT if it stops the project. Wants project to continue.	LCC to seek funding to progress project.	-	- Concerns if project does not proceed it will send negative signal to the community and Government. - Thoughts on car parking & skate park location were at time when planning was in motion and plans could be changed & suggestions sought. - Majority of Moe community want this development.
26-02-13	Alan Barnard, Family Life Ministries	No	Views have not changed – continue to support project.	Would like to see project continue along same lines as the design launched in June 2011 and put into effect immediately.	Funding should have been implemented in 2011/12 and hopes that through the many delays and reviews that we have not missed out on funding opportunities.	- that the project be advanced as soon as possible and that the communities participation by now should be obvious. - Noted petitions and meetings should be indicators of the majority of people in Moe's thinking and desire for this project.	- MACP project should be implemented without further delay.
26-02-13	David Power, Davine Fitzpatrick Solicitors	No	View has not changed, continue to support this project.	Would like to see the project continue	Would like LCC to secure funding necessary to move the project forward and finalise the project.	- The community has already shown Council that it overwhelmingly supports this project and they are ready for the project to proceed without further	- Council has an obligation to see the completion of this project. - Support the design which includes Civic Centre (including Council service centre, new library, community meeting rooms, café, civic plaza, shared zone, bus interchange, taxi ranks, car parking and public toilets), open spaces including youth precinct, greenroof, play

						delay. - If this is further put to the community for consultation Council would be surprised by the strength of voice and support from the community.	& picnic areas. - Project is essential to Moe's future as well as the whole of Latrobe City. - Delays reflect badly on Moe, the Council and broader Latrobe City as being an attractive and progressive place to live, work and invest in. - Current political climate is right for funding to be obtained.
26-02-13	Sally Tyburski		View unchanged: - strongly in favour of the project - rail precinct needs improving - new library is needed - youth precinct is needed - development would attract investment and other projects	Would like to see project continue as per current design.	Would like LCC to do everything possible to secure funding.	- is clear that majority of community are in favour of the project by results of petition and numbers at meetings etc.	- Rail precinct needs improving for aesthetic & practical reasons. - Old library is outdated and behind modern technology - Youth precinct will give somewhere kids feel welcome and needed. - Once project is underway believes other projects & development would follow.
26-02-13	Vicki Hamilton		View unchanged, continue to support this project.	Would like to see all Councillors full support of the project that was envisaged in 2007.	- Would like to see LCC pursue staged funding of this project.	- would expect no-one to impede delivery of this project	- Disappointed the project took such long time to get through the consultation process. - was under impression funding was already being sought. - Project is much needed for improvement of infrastructure for Moe's future - Does not want Moe to go backwards - If project does not go ahead then people of Moe & districts will have no confidence in LCC or the councillors and we risk people leaving the area.
26-02-13	Ian Grant		View unchanged, continue to support project.	Continue as per concept design in June 2011.	Happy with Council's staged approach to secure funding if this is easier.	- Believes community has made it very clear they want this project and want it to continue as is unabated.	- Disappointed with review process which has stalled the project further.
26-02-13	Minnie Grant		View unchanged, continue to support project.	Continue as per concept design in 2011.	Would like to see LCC progress with securing funding.	Want project to commence as soon as possible.	- Feel let down by Councillors.
26-02-13	Harmonie Smith		View unchanged, continue to support project.	Continue as per concept design in 2011.	-	- Community has already shown overwhelming support for this project. - Noted petition with over 6,500 signatures supporting project and numbers at meetings. - Clear that the community want this to go ahead.	- This project will inject new life and feeling of pride into Moe CBD. Will also provide a clear vision for the town. - Closure of shops at George/Moore St intersection was damaging to retail in Moe. - This project will attract further development by investors - Overall design is fantastic and will make a great focal point for the town.
27-02-13	Diana Stagg		View unchanged, continue to support project	Continue as per concept design in June 2011.	Would like LCC to do everything possible to secure funding.	- is clear that majority of community are in favour of the project by results of petition and numbers at meetings etc.	- Rail precinct needs improving for aesthetic & practical reasons - Once project is underway believes other projects & development would follow - Likes how design incorporates all different aspects into the CBD.
27-02-13	Manny Gelagotis	Yes	View unchanged, continue to support project.	Would like to see project continue without further delay.	Would like LCC to secure funding to progress the project either staged or not.	- Community input has exceeded expectations & the project should now be delivered.	- All previous issues had have been addressed mainly with concerns re parking and youth precinct area. - Funding should have already been applied for especially when project is shovel ready. - Wants Council to listen to the community and respect their wishes.
27-02-13	E&M Gotis Pty Ltd	Yes	Continue to support this project in the proposed format.	Would like to see the project continue without further delay.	Would like to see LCC secure funding required to progress this project.	- The community has already been engaged and the project should be endorsed and accepted.	-
27-02-13	Simon May		View unchanged, continue to support this project.	Project should be progressed in line with the concept design in June 2011.	Happy with Council's staged approach to secure funding so long as the method of funding sought does not attract an adverse attitude to the project by Govt.	The community is currently supporting the project going forward as per the June 2011 concept plan.	-
27-02-13	Sue & Chris Abbott	No	View unchanged, continue to support this project.	Project should be progressed as per current design.	-	-	- First submission; have always agreed with the project and design & thought submissions were for objectors only. - Moe/Newborough district in desperate need for development. - Confused why submissions from 2009 are now under review. - Imperative for future and long term liveability of youth that the project goes ahead.
27-02-13	Sue Abbott, Committee for Moe	Yes	View unchanged, continue to support this project.	Continue to proceed as per concept design in June 2011.	Progress funding applications accordingly.	- Expect development, growth and infrastructure into the town. - Expect Council to take informative & appropriate decisions to assist in the future and success of the town. - Appreciate the opportunity to be heard in Council and look	- Submission in 2009 was fully supportive of current project but suggested some constructive thoughts, ideas and reasons. - CofM is still supportive of current project and no longer want to hold this up by making their own suggestions. - Previous submission made note that Moe needs a central green space, town square and vibrant safe CBD where people can meet. - Concerned that funding opportunities had not already been applied for.

						forward to a positive outcome.	- Project will deliver a liveable community and give the townships quality of life of a place including its economy, amenity, environmental sustainability, health & wellbeing, equity, education and learning and leadership.
27-02-13	Jonathan Cowley, Bryas Café	No	View unchanged, continue to support this project.	Continue with project as per concept design in June 2011.	- Disagree with Council's staged approach to secure funding. - Prefers Council to secure funding for the total project at once.	No further consultation is required, expect project to be delivered.	- Great project for Moe and will give Moe a much needed modern look in the town centre. - Concerned that the review is going back to submissions from 4 years ago. - Feels if funding is obtained for the project as a whole then the project wont get stuck if staged funding is halted. - Noted petition in support of project with over 6,000 signatures.
27-02-13	Kate Collings	Yes	View unchanged, continue to support this project.	Would like to see the project continue.	-	-	- Disappointed that this project has come to a standstill - Community of Moe deserve this project.
27-02-13	Chris Savage	No	-	-	-	-	Would like to see the project progress.
27-02-13	Cheryl Wragg & Craig Mclver	Yes	-	-	-	-	- copy of excerpt from 2007 submission in where it was questioned how the relocation of the Moe Library and Council Service Centre came to be in the MACP document. - Had contacted Tract Consulting authors of the MACP document to check how a relocated Library & Service Centre came into the MACP. They confirmed they were directed by LCC to relocate these buildings. - Attached a copy of letter from RGM on behalf of Tanjil Place Medical Group dated 23 February 2007 which made a proposal to acquire the Moe Service Centre building, Moe Library and car parks on same title.
27-02-13	Debra DeCarli	No	-	Would like to see project progress as per the current design.	-	-	- Proposed civic centre & library will provide technology to enable the town to connect with the rest of the world. - Proposed library will provide an information hub - New infrastructure will provide jobs in construction and later jobs to deliver the services required - Understand that VicTrack is fully supportive of the current plan - Wanted noted that Moe does not need a big car park and a round-about for buses and wanted to know if VicTrack had complained to the Council about this? - Concerned if project not progressed the population in Moe will drop further. - Overwhelming community support is for the project to go ahead.
28-02-13	Craig Adams	No	-	Would like to see the project continue.	-	-	- Notes that in 6 years of owing business in Moe not one exciting development has happened to help stimulate business growth. - This project would bring good things to the town and as a retailer in sport is extremely excited about the skate park that will be good for his business growth. - No time to wait anymore to progress the project to enable the town to prosper.
28-02-13	Matthew McNamara, Maccas Megasports & Inland Surf	No	-	Would like to see the project continue.	-	-	- Notes that in 6 years of owing business in Moe not one exciting development has happened to help stimulate business growth. - This project would bring good things to the town and as a retailer in sport is extremely excited about the skate park that will be good for his business growth. - No time to wait anymore to progress the project to enable the town to prosper.
28-02-13	Brad Law, Invest Moe	Yes	View unchanged, continue to support this project.	Would like to see the project continue as per the final design concept.	Recognise that various avenues of funding will be needed to complete this diverse project. Concerned this has not already been sought.	All community and government consultation has been exhausted, project now requires immediate implementation.	-
28-02-13	Daryl Larsen, Larsen's Jewellers	Yes	Views have changed, now fully support the concept design of June 2011. - upon review opinion is that youth precinct will work well and provide an all age's central hub for Moe.	Would like to see the project continue as per concept design of June 2011.	LCC to progress funding opportunities in any way it can through State and Federal governments.	- Substantial community consultation has been already done. - Recent petition of over 6,000 signatures was presented in support of the project. - No further consultation is justified or warranted and expect the project to be delivered.	- Believes that some people may wish to change the current design but they are in the minority. - Council should not hold back a critical project for the development of the long term future of Moe. - Majority of general public has had enough consultation and stalling on this project.
28-02-13	Brad Law, Law Somerville Industries	Yes	View has not changed, continue to support this project.	Would like to see the project continue as per the final agreed concept design.	Happy for LCC to proceed with the best way to source funding.	No more consultation can be justified to hold up this project.	- Design is fantastic and does not need to be altered as it suits the current and future community needs. - Council need to advise us of the best processes to be undertaken to source funding to complete this project in the shortest possible time frame.

							- This review has allowed a very, very small minority to delay an iconic project.
28-02-13	Sue Broadbent, Moe Traders Association	Yes	Yes, now fully support the project.	As per the MRPRP Design June 2011.	-	For community consultation to continue.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Petition for project supported by 6644 residents of Moe (signatures continue)</li> <li>- Critical for Council to provide good governance through providing stable support for the project as per design launched</li> <li>- Submissions for funding to State and/or Federal Government is urgently required now.</li> </ul>
28-02-13	Christine Waterhouse, Furniture Beds and More	Yes	Yes, now fully support the project.	As per the MRPRP Design June 2011.	-	For community consultation to continue.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Petition for project supported by 6644 residents of Moe (signatures continue)</li> <li>- Critical for Council to provide good governance through providing stable support for the project as per design launched</li> <li>- Submissions for funding to State and/or Federal Government is urgently required now.</li> </ul>
28-02-13	Wilhelm Koppe, Latrobe Valley Village Inc	No	Fully support the project.	As per the MACP.	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Progression of the MACP is crucial for the future development of Moe and Latrobe City</li> <li>- Years of work already invested by a number of people for this project</li> <li>- LVV call on all Councillors to endorse the MACP and actively apply for necessary funding</li> <li>- LVV concerned Council is not listening to its electorate.</li> </ul>
28-02-13	John & Jeanette Lawson	No	Views have not changed, fully support the project	-	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The project is vital for the towns future</li> <li>- The Project needs to get moving as soon as possible</li> <li>- Must get the funding allocated as Moe is in its worst state in the past 50 years</li> <li>- People of Moe are making their feelings known at all meetings so far.</li> </ul>
28-02-13	Julie Myrteza	No	-	As per the concept design launched in June 2011.	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rail precinct desperately needs improving</li> <li>- I like the design, it will bring Moe forward into the future</li> <li>- Cr Gibbons' motion for no further funding to be sought is a disgrace</li> <li>- Made clear in a number of ways eg. Petition, huge numbers at meetings etc.</li> <li>- Moe deserves it.</li> </ul>
28-02-13	Tom Hayes	No	No, my views regarding the project have not changed. I fully support it.	As per the MACP project that was adopted in 2009.	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Total support for the MACP project in its current form as adopted in 2009</li> <li>- Moe desperately needs a revitalisation project</li> <li>- Moe needs this project to commence a real boost to the city's future developmental and employment prospects</li> <li>- Frustrated that Council have not proceeded with application for funding</li> <li>- We do not need more public consultation, we have had ample opportunity for a decision to be made.</li> </ul>
28-02-13	Tony Price	No	-	-	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Support the MACP, including new library/Civil Building and Youth Precinct</li> <li>- Very important project for Moe</li> <li>- It is a disgrace that this project has been delayed by an unnecessary review</li> <li>- This project has significant community support</li> <li>- Disappointment in the conduct of the current Council</li> <li>- Number of questions asked – refer to submission.</li> </ul>
28-02-13	Wendy Farmer	No	Yes, my views have changed as I have been involved in several meetings with community groups.	As per the design launched in June 2011.	I am happy for Council to source funds in stages.	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Believe this project will be a big asset for the Latrobe Valley and Moe</li> <li>- So many consultations, lets get on with the project</li> <li>- Councillors need to push for funds instead of reviewing and stopping the project</li> <li>- Council should embrace the community towards making this happen</li> <li>- Community groups like Rotary and Apex are always happy to work with Council</li> <li>- Councillors should fight for this project and give the people what they deserve</li> </ul>
28-02-13	Pearse Morgan, RGM Accountants & Advisors	Yes	Views towards this project remain the same.	As per the agreed design concept launched in June 2011.	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Strongest support for the project</li> <li>- Every available opportunity to see both State and Federal funding should be pursued</li> <li>- Community participation is over. It was over 2 years ago when the project was launched in June 2011</li> <li>- Attempts to derail progress of this project of major significance to Moe community would be a public scandal</li> <li>- In previous submission I made pointed reference to extreme</li> </ul>

							minority views of the MADRA organisation – refer to current submission for full details.
28-02-13	Roger Taylor, Rotary Club of Moe	Yes	-	As per the design launch.	Not the preferred option however would support the staged approach.	To be actively participatory in current and future developments.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rotary Club of Moe originally agreed in principle to the MRPRP, but invited Moe Lions and Apex to contribute thoughts</li> <li>- A list of thought and concerns were collated – refer to current submission</li> <li>- Rotary Club of Moe recently modified the original submission – refer to current submission</li> <li>- Council to provide unified support to the project as presented in the design launch</li> <li>- Expectations of Council to immediately and vigorously pursue state and federal funding.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Jenny Jackeulen	No	-	As per the design concept launched in 2011.	-	I categorically reject the need for any further consultation, reviews, surveys etc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Councillors have their own agendas and are holding majority of people of Moe at ransom</li> <li>- seek funding</li> <li>- No project should be held up just because individuals may not like nor understand part of it</li> <li>- This project is for the long-term benefit of all the residents of Moe and Latrobe Valley community.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Rodney Lloyd	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-To see the project revert back to being primarily a transport hub project</li> <li>- Duplicate the rail line.</li> </ul>	I agree the funding should be sought through a staged approach.	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A coach parking bay for trips to the snow was in original plans, where is it now?</li> <li>- Spoke to a few skaters and they just want a full size skate park of world standard. I say put the skate park at Apex Park and make room for a dirt BMX track.</li> <li>- Library and Service Centre should stay in the Civic Hub and old shire offices be purchased back from Tanjil Place Medical</li> <li>- Less infrastructure in the current development site is better practice than the June 2011 plans</li> <li>- If not for December 17 actions, I would have no input to this process.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Steve McIntosh et al	No	-	-	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A list of 'where we are placed at the moment' – refer to submission</li> <li>- Vast majority of Moe residents want this project completed as evidenced in petition signed by more than 6000 people</li> <li>- Millions of dollars have been spent to date</li> <li>- Two fallacies within a few of the previous submissions against proceeding with MACP are lack of parking and option to keep the current library – refer to submission for further details</li> <li>- 10 people signed this submission in agreement that the MACP is a requirement in continuing growth of Moe.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Gwen Brown	Yes	No, I submit my continuing support.	As per the MACP in its current form.	I would expect the funding to be achieved in stages over a period of time.	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- More than adequate public consultation and input by consultants</li> <li>- Let any further monies be spent on the development itself.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Robert Sim, John Kerr & Associates Real Estate	Yes	No, I submit my continuing support.	As per the project in its entirety.	-	The community should continue to be informed and consulted in all matters.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- This project is vital to the communities of Moe, Newborough and surrounding districts</li> <li>- This project should be pursued vigorously by Council</li> <li>- Project should be viewed as a structured and staged design</li> <li>- Long and short term goals should be set however no doubt that the final outcome is a fully completed project</li> <li>- The project is pivotal to the vibrancy of the commercial centre and vital to the community.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Dr Vaughan Speck, Tanjil Place Medical	Yes	No, there is no reason to do so.	-	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Frustrated and appalled that the community has to give their opinion again</li> <li>- We are wasting more time and money on another review</li> <li>- No new vital game changing information is available</li> <li>- The project will improve the lives and amenity of Moe population</li> <li>- Council should vote to ensure that the project priority is raised above the Traralgon Pool.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Chris Brown, Build Eng	Yes	No, I submit my continuing support.	-	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- My opinions have strengthened</li> <li>- Continuing support for the current proposal.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Brendan Jenkins, ALP - Moe Branch	Yes	No, confirm the original submission of our continuing support.	-	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Disappointed that Latrobe City has stopped works on this project</li> <li>- The concept is an opportunity which not every community can attain</li> <li>- Moe has the location, need and overwhelming support to make this happen</li> <li>- Future needs can be met at this precinct.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	John Hutchinson	Yes	-	As per the design approved by Council in 2011.	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The project needs to be staged in logically and financially supportable phases to complete project</li> <li>- Phases need to be publicised so that Latrobe City public can support this</li> <li>- Council can be supported by the Moe community for funding. The</li> </ul>

							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Moe Development and the Trades Association would be key contacts</li> <li>- Support consultation that occurred, the design concept and staging approach</li> <li>- Disappointed with the pace of progress.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Jenny Jones	No	-	As per the MACP endorsed by Council in 2011.	I agree the funding should be a staged approach as long as Council is actively seeking funding.	I categorically reject the need for further consultation, reviews, surveys etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Disgusted in you asking if I have a view on Council's current approach to funding as no funding applications progressed prior to the motion</li> <li>- If Council can bring this project to fruition then my expectation is they will actively seek funding</li> <li>- I expected to be updated on a regular basis in relation to the progression of the project</li> <li>- Do not want to waste more time and money.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Debbie Yeomans	No	-	-	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MACP as endorsed by Council in 2011 will provide the city of Moe with a positive focal point that will revitalise the CBD and the whole city</li> <li>- This project is a real catalyst for improving the overall presentation of the city and attracting investors</li> <li>- This project has been consulted to death, its time for action.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Anna Henley	No	My views from the beginning until now have not changed.	As per the design concept that was launched in 2011.	-	I categorically reject the need for any further consultation, reviews, surveys etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I expect to be informed of Council's progress towards an end result</li> <li>- This project is for the long term benefit of all the residents of Moe.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	B Johnson	No	-	-	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MACP as endorsed by Council in 2011 will provide the city of Moe with a positive focal point that will revitalise the CBD and the whole city</li> <li>- This project is a real catalyst for improving the overall presentation of the city and attracting investors</li> <li>- This project has been consulted to death, its time for action.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Jill Beck, Friends of Latrobe City Libraries	Yes	Friends Group wishes to reiterate its previous view.	Unable to comment in any detail about the continuation given the unavailability of the plans for the new library completed since June 2011 launch.	-	Genuine community consultation with emphasis given to library users and library staff to express their views.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Proposal of relocating the library raises a considerable number of concerns – refer to submission for further details</li> <li>- New site will have reduced access to car parking</li> <li>- The Friends suggest Council to refer to location pointers in the internationally recognised People Places which can be viewed online – refer to submission for further details</li> <li>- the Friends preference is funding be pursued as Council's priority. This is likely to provide a cheaper, timelier and more satisfactory outcome than a staged development.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Irene Ballard	No	-	-	-	No further consultation is needed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MACP combines vital community and visitor facilities that will attract people to our city and investors</li> <li>- Strongly support the MACP gives residents hope for a better future and will attract investors for employment opportunities</li> <li>- I expect Council will end this review and focus on making up for lost time</li> <li>- I expect Council to keep the people informed on the progression of the project.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Bruce McDonald	Yes	Yes they remain the same and as a consequence of LCC's actions since December 2009, I have become even more sceptical.	With a thorough analysis of alternative options to all remaining aspects to be completed of the MACP/MRPRP.	Get the proponents and stakeholders likely to profit from the current proposals to stump up their own money to gamble rather than expecting taxpayer and ratepayer dollars to be used.	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I thank you for deciding to undertake this review</li> <li>- I am opposed to the transfer of the library</li> <li>- Hume Council said 'Do not build a multi-level library'</li> <li>- My scepticism towards the MRPRP has increased because of the lack of open consultation</li> <li>- There is insufficient car parking space in the design</li> <li>- This review is long overdue</li> <li>- There are other more suited places for a skate park without using ratepayers money – refer to submission for further details</li> <li>- Focus on things that will not divide the community such as the relocation of the library</li> <li>- Open community participation in any future works is needed and try to come up with some proposals for a transport hub</li> <li>- Or improved access and security for pedestrians to and from the station and better night lighting</li> <li>- Other fine possibilities could come up after open, sustained, effective planning sessions.</li> </ul>
1-03-13	Andrew & Kate Corrigan	No	No change, always excited and supportive of project.	Disappointed in review process, would like to see project continue as per design released in June 2011.	- Concerned review process has jeopardised and delayed funding opportunities.	- Many have spoken for project, this is valued part of decision process. Overwhelming support for project in community.	-
6-03-13	Rob Geisler	No	-	-	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Support MACP as endorsed by Council in 2011.</li> <li>- MACP is catalyst for improving presentation of city, attracting investors and creating employment</li> <li>- Investment for youth and uniting people of Moe.</li> </ul>

							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Disappointed with Council decision to put project on hold.</li> <li>- Project consulted to death, time for action.</li> </ul>
6-03-13	Tamara Kennedy	No	-	-	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Support MACP as endorsed by Council in 2011.</li> <li>- MACP is catalyst for improving presentation of city, attracting investors and creating employment</li> <li>- Investment for youth and uniting people of Moe.</li> <li>- Disappointed with Council decision to put project on hold.</li> <li>- Project consulted to death, time for action.</li> </ul>
6-03-13	Brett Kennedy	No	-	As per the design concept that was launched in 2011.	-	I categorically reject the need for any further consultation, reviews, surveys etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Disgusted in you asking if I have a view on Council's current approach to funding as no funding applications progressed prior to the motion</li> <li>- If Council can bring this project to fruition then my expectation is they will actively seek funding</li> <li>- I expected to be updated on a regular basis in relation to the progression of the project</li> <li>- Do not want to waste more time and money.</li> </ul>
6-03-13	Peter Wyntjes	No	-	-	-	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Support MACP as endorsed by Council in 2011.</li> <li>- MACP is catalyst for improving presentation of city, attracting investors and creating employment</li> <li>- Investment for youth and uniting people of Moe.</li> <li>- Disappointed with Council decision to put project on hold.</li> <li>- Project consulted to death, time for action.</li> </ul>
6-03-13	Val Hemmings	No	-	As per the design concept that was launched in 2011.	-	I categorically reject the need for any further consultation, reviews, surveys etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I expected to be updated on a regular basis in relation to the progression of the project.</li> </ul>
6-03-13	Lynette Blunt	No	-	As per the design concept that was launched in 2011.	-	I categorically reject the need for any further consultation, reviews, surveys etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I expected to be updated on a regular basis in relation to the progression of the project.</li> </ul>
6-03-13	Colette Beck	No	-	As per the design concept that was launched in 2011.	-	I categorically reject the need for any further consultation, reviews, surveys etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I expected to be updated on a regular basis in relation to the progression of the project.</li> </ul>
6-03-13	Miriam Aquilina	No	-	As per the design concept that was launched in 2011.	-	I categorically reject the need for any further consultation, reviews, surveys etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I expected to be updated on a regular basis in relation to the progression of the project.</li> </ul>
6-03-13	Trevor Hemmings	No	-	As per the design concept that was launched in 2011.	-	I categorically reject the need for any further consultation, reviews, surveys etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I expected to be updated on a regular basis in relation to the progression of the project.</li> </ul>
7-03-13	Michael Fozzard, Gippsland Heritage Park	No	-	-	-	Consultation process was far ranging and inclusive.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MACP will enhance and thus encourage more people to Moe, and provide better public transport.</li> <li>- Adoption of plan followed due process, concerned by Council decision to overturn this.</li> <li>- Call for Council to rescind the motion.</li> </ul>